



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,859	11/04/2003	Young H. Kim	CL1983 US NA	6315
43693	7590	07/28/2008	EXAMINER	
INVISTA NORTH AMERICA S.A.R.L.			SERGENT, RABON A	
THREE LITTLE FALLS CENTRE/1052				
2801 CENTERVILLE ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILMINGTON, DE 19808			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Kathy.L.Crew@invista.com
iprc@invista.com



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10700859	11/4/2003	KIM ET AL.	CL1983 US NA

EXAMINER

Rabon Sergent

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

1796 20080721

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on March 12, 2008 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicants have argued that claim 19 is drawn a urea/urethane polymer consisting of (a) repeating units derived from a hydroxy-terminated copolymer prepared from tetrahydrofuran and one or both of an alkylene oxide and a cyclic acetal and (b) repeating units derived from a polyisocyanate; however, this argument is not commensurate in scope with the claim, as amended. See last paragraph of page 13 of the response. Claim 19, as amended, is not limited by the transitional language, "consisting of". In fact, claim 19, as amended, is devoid of any transitional language within the argued phrase; accordingly, the claim, as amended, is indefinite. Since applicants' argument fails to correspond to the limitations of the claim, applicants have failed to provide an adequate response to the prior art rejection. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

/Rabon Sergent/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796